



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/670,242	09/26/2000	Mark M. Ishikawa		4706
7590 04/23/2004			EXAMINER	
Anna M Vradenburgh			LANIER, BENJAMIN E	
Brull Piccionelli Sarno Braun & Vradenburgh Suite 2350			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1925 Century Park East			2132	2
Los Angeles, CA 90067			DATE MAILED: 04/23/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<del></del>		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
, Office Action Summary		09/670,242	ISHIKAWA ET AL.	m				
		Examiner	Art Unit					
•		Benjamin E Lanier	2132					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication							
Period fo	• •							
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by set reply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, n n. a reply within the statutory minimum eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6 tatute, cause the application to beco	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on _							
2a) <u></u>	<u> </u>	This action is non-final.						
3)□								
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration						
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Exar The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 September 2000</u> Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co The oath or declaration is objected to by the	is/are: a) ☐ accepted on the drawing(s) be held in ab rrection is required if the dra	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). wing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	21(d).				
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force.  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum.  2. Certified copies of the priority docum.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bussee the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received nents have been received priority documents have t reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	in Application No een received in this National Stage	,				
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <u>I</u> nterv	iew Summary (PTO-413)					
3) 🔲 Infor	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE r No(s)/Mail Date		No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) :					

Application/Control Number: 09/670,242 Page 2

Art Unit: 2132

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-6, 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jones, WO 95/15522. Referring to claims 1, 3-6, 12, Jones discloses a data verification system wherein a user can have a file, they created, fingerprinted at a central computer (Page 2, lines 19-27), which meets the limitation of receiving a source file from data owners and creating a fingerprint for the source file by recording portions of the source file that correspond to each of the elements of the template. With regards to the limitation involving the template, Jones discloses that file selected is fingerprinted using a preselected technique that produces a fingerprint unique to the content of the document. The fingerprint includes a cyclic redundancy check value for the file along with the file size (Page 11, line 35 – Page 12, line 7). Once generated the fingerprint and file are stored in a database at the central computer that corresponds to the owner (Page 3, lines 9-17), which meets the limitation of storing the source file and fingerprint in a database. The fingerprint can then be compared to the fingerprint of an unknown file in order to verify that the files are the same (Page 2, lines 30-36), which meets the limitation of comparing unknown data files to the fingerprint stored in the database to determine whether the unknown data files are copies of any portion of the source file.

Art Unit: 2132

Referring to claim 2, Jones discloses that the file can be time stamped (Page 3, lines 1-2), which meets the limitation of branding the source file.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 7, 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jones, WO 95/15522, in view of Chow, U.S. Patent No. 6,292,092. Referring to claims 7, 9-11, Jones discloses a data verification system wherein a user can have a file, they created, fingerprinted at a central computer (Page 2, lines 19-27), which meets the limitation of receiving a source file from data owners and creating a fingerprint for the source file by recording portions of the source file that correspond to each of the elements of the template. With regards to the limitation involving the template, Jones discloses that file selected is fingerprinted using a preselected technique that produces a fingerprint unique to the content of the document. The fingerprint includes a cyclic redundancy check value for the file along with the file size (Page 11, line 35 Page 12, line 7). Once generated the fingerprint and file are stored in a database at the central computer that corresponds to the owner (Page 3, lines 9-17), which meets the limitation of storing the source file and fingerprint in a database. The fingerprint can then be compared to the fingerprint of an unknown file in order to verify that the files are the same (Page 2, lines 30-36), which meets the limitation of comparing unknown data files to the fingerprint stored in the database to determine

Art Unit: 2132

whether the unknown data files are copies of any portion of the source file. Jones discloses that the file can be time stamped (Page 3, lines 1-2), which meets the limitation of branding the source file. Jones does not disclose that the embedded authentication information can be encrypted and embedded into the source file. Chow discloses an image identification system wherein authentication information is encrypted and affixed (embedded) into the source image (Col. 3, lines 13-17). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to encrypt the authentication information of Jones in order to protect the data as taught in Chow (Col. 3, lines 18-20).

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jones, WO 95/15522, in view of Chow, U.S. Patent No. 6,292,092. Referring to claim 8, Jones discloses a data verification system wherein a user can have a file, they created, fingerprinted at a central computer (Page 2, lines 19-27), which meets the limitation of receiving a source file from data owners and creating a fingerprint for the source file by recording portions of the source file that correspond to each of the elements of the template. With regards to the limitation involving the template, Jones discloses that file selected is fingerprinted using a preselected technique that produces a fingerprint unique to the content of the document. The fingerprint includes a cyclic redundancy check value for the file along with the file size (Page 11, line 35 – Page 12, line 7). Once generated the fingerprint and file are stored in a database at the central computer that corresponds to the owner (Page 3, lines 9-17), which meets the limitation of storing the source file and fingerprint in a database. The fingerprint can then be compared to the fingerprint of an unknown file in order to verify that the files are the same (Page 2, lines 30-36), which meets the limitation of comparing unknown data files to the fingerprint stored in the database to determine

Art Unit: 2132

whether the unknown data files are copies of any portion of the source file. Jones does not disclose that the fingerprint is created using the average color values for predefined portions of the source file. Chow discloses an image identification system wherein to create the image fingerprint, certain features of the image are extracted and weighted averages are calculated. These features are only based on luminance (color values) components of the picture (Col. 4, lines 22-44). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for the source file of Jones to be an image and the fingerprint calculated using the function of Chow in because the weighing functions are highly non-linear and it is very difficult to create an image which would have the same averages and yet the image contain a face or signature of a specific person as taught in Chow (Col. 4, lines 37-40).

Page 5

## Drawings

6. New corrected drawings are required in this application because lines, letters, and numbers are not uniformly thick and well defined, clean, durable, and black. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.

#### Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Benjamin E Lanier whose telephone number is 703-305-7684. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th0 7:30am-5:00pm, F 7:30am-4pm.

Art Unit: 2132

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on (703)305-1830. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Benjamin E. Lanier

GILBERTO BARRON SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100